

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,467 11/21/2003		Kiyoshi Tsurumi	01-497	8124		
23400	7590	07/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
POSZ & BI 11250 ROGE			HERNAND	HERNANDEZ, OLGA		
SUITE 10				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA 20190				3661	3661	
				DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action	10/717,467	TSURUMI, KIYOSHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Olga Hernandez	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
- External frame - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (30) of the statutory and statutory of the statutory of th	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.				
Status	•						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2003					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vo from consideration					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	alaction requirement					
	·	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 ¯	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received in Applica	uon No				
,	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 		ved in this National Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ved				
		ware contined copies not receiv	cu.				
Attachment(
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
∠) ∐ Notice 3) ⊠ Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date 2.	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra TOL-326 (Re	4.64	on Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20040708				

Application/Control Number: 10/717,467

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akimoto (5,787,233) in view of Wysocki et al (5,381,338).

As per claim 1, Akimoto teaches a data storing means for storing road map data; a map data display means for displaying a map retrieved from the road map data stored in the data storing means on a display; a map section specifying means for specifying a section of a road on the map displayed on the display; an elevation data entry means for obtaining elevation data of the section specified with the map section specifying means; and a grade data adding means for adding a grade data of the section of the road by calculating a grade of the section from the elevation data and a distance of the section derived from the map data and storing the grade as the grade data in the data storing means (figures 1, 3, 6, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18 and columns 4-5). Akimoto does not teach getting the information/data from an external input device. However, Wysocki teaches it in figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine the aforementioned inventions in order to provide greater visual terrain realism to an operator of a mode of transportation with the use of three-dimensional stereo digital ortophotographs based upon the accompanying elevation data used to make the ortophotographs, and optionally to provide dynamic perspective terrain views where

Application/Control Number: 10/717,467

Art Unit: 3661

translations about x, y and z axes of the mode of transportation are known and project these views to the operator through the use of various stereo display technologies.

As per claim 3, Akimoto teaches the grade data adding means stores the grade data into the data storing means after the grade data entry means has obtained the grade data (column 4, lines 59-67 and column 5, lines 1-16).

As per claim 4, Akimoto teaches displaying the grade data of the specified section of the road on the display when the grade data is stored in the storing means (figures 1, 8,10-12, 14, 16, 19-21 and 25).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 3661